

## CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

### **Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)**

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

Springfield has completed its first year of implementation of the strategic plan it created in 2015. The City has met or exceeded its goals for many activities over both the FY16 program year and over the first year of the five year consolidated planning period.

During the 2015-2019 planning period, the City significantly advanced major initiatives with investment of CDBG and HOME funds.

See Attachment 2

### **Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)**

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Community Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	45000	22675	50.39%	9000	22675	251.94%
Community Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	100000	8121	8.12%	20000	8121	40.61%

Community Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Buildings Demolished	Buildings	50	19	38.00%	10	19	190.00%
Community Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Housing Code Enforcement/Foreclosed Property Care	Household Housing Unit	5000	56590	1,131.80%	1000	56590	5,659.00%
Community Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	1945	48945	2,516.45%	389	48945	12,582.26%
Economic Development	Non-Housing Community Development Economic Development	CDBG: \$	Facade treatment/business building rehabilitation	Business	25	6	24.00%	5	6	120.00%
Economic Development	Non-Housing Community Development Economic Development	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	25	12	48.00%	5	12	240.00%
Economic Development	Non-Housing Community Development Economic Development	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	50	14	28.00%	10	14	140.00%
Economic Development	Non-Housing Community Development Economic Development	CDBG: \$	Housing Code Enforcement/Foreclosed Property Care	Household Housing Unit	10	0	0.00%	2	0	0.00%

Homeless and Special Needs Population	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	700	180	25.71%	141	180	127.66%
Homeless and Special Needs Population	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	5000	1096	21.92%	1000	1096	109.60%
Homeless and Special Needs Population	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	1750	514	29.37%	350	514	146.86%
Neighborhood Stabilization and Housing	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	2	0	0.00%	1	0	0.00%

Neighborhood Stabilization and Housing	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	50	23	46.00%	10	23	230.00%
Neighborhood Stabilization and Housing	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	7	1	14.29%	1	1	100.00%
Neighborhood Stabilization and Housing	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	100	37	37.00%	22	37	168.18%

Neighborhood Stabilization and Housing	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	400	84	21.00%	75	84	112.00%
Neighborhood Stabilization and Housing	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Other	Other	75	883	1,177.33%	75	883	1,177.33%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

**Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.**

The City relies on input received from the public in establishing its priorities and goals.

Springfield's investment of CDBG funds is based on whether the activity falls within a City funding priority, whether the service is an eligible activity, and whether the service or need meets a national objective. In addition, the activity must meet one of the following CDBG objectives: (1) provide a suitable living environment; (2) provide decent housing; and (3) provide economic opportunity.

Resources are allocated to maximize the benefits to residents within target areas. While public infrastructure, parks, open space, and public

facility type projects are exclusively within targeted areas, other strategic investments are made for the benefit of low- and moderate-income residents throughout the City. In order to meet high priority needs of the community such as job creation and economic development, it may be necessary to invest outside low/moderate census tracts.

HOME Investment Partnership Funds (HOME) may be utilized to rehabilitate rental housing, directly assist homebuyers, provide tenant-based rental assistance, and produce and/or preserve affordable housing citywide.

Public investments of federal and other funds will be in direct response to priority needs that are detailed at length throughout the Consolidated Plan. The needs were identified through consultations with community stakeholders, input directly from residents, and assessment of relevant data and existing plans.

ESG and HOPWA funds are targeted to meet the identified needs of the eligible populations within the geographic area. For ESG, the service area is the City of Springfield. Investments are made in accordance with relative priority and statutory spending caps. HOPWA funds are allocated throughout the EMSA which includes the tri-county area. HOPWA funds are allocated primarily to alleviate the housing cost burden for eligible households.

## CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	HOPWA	ESG
White	688	120	68	939
Black or African American	726	56	43	285
Asian	69	4	0	2
American Indian or American Native	10	0	0	6
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	6	0	0	6
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,499</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>1,238</b>
Hispanic	157	81	58	585
Not Hispanic	1,342	99	53	658

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

### Narrative

The people served by all programs were 37% Black, 29% Hispanic, and 2% Asian, while the overall City population of 22% Black, 41% Hispanic and 2% Asian. The likely reason for what seems to be an under-representation of people who are Hispanic among those provided assistance is a reporting issue. City data reflects a large number of people who identify as multi-racial. Program providers report that many people who are Hispanic report this category, instead of reporting both a race and ethnicity. The data is not reflected in the above chart because there is no category for "multi-racial" in the race category, and people who do not answer the ethnicity question are often report as 'not Hispanic' because they believe that both race and ethnicity are captured in the 'multi-racial' response. The City will work with providers to improve data collection, and also to ensure that programs are advertised to and made available to Hispanic people, especially Spanish-speaking people.

## CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

### Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG		14,248,997	3,844,561
HOME		3,865,319	908,634
HOPWA		1,818,311	428,399
ESG		1,680,028	312,481

Table 3 – Resources Made Available

### Narrative

During FY 15-16, the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) awarded the City of Springfield \$5,366,729.00 in entitlement funding. The City received \$3,554,172.00 through the CDBG program, \$1,036,526.00 through the HOME program, \$325,972 through the ESG program, and \$450,059 through the HOPWA program. Prior Year funds of \$775,000.00, as well as estimated program income totaling \$152,000.00 were also available. During the program year 87.28% of CDBG funds were used to benefit low- to moderate income persons. The majority of funding was allocated for activities classified as economic development, housing, public service, or public infrastructure and facilities. Details of the services, programs and accomplishments are detailed through the CAPER.

### Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
Local Target Area	70	72	
North End/Metro Center	30	28	

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

### Narrative

The City of Springfield continues its focus on fundamentally changing the urban neighborhoods that are located within the NRSA. These communities are home to some of the City's poorest residents and have a wealth of diversity. The residents, business owners, and key stakeholders within the NRSA are dynamic, diverse, and eager for positive change and new investment. The City's goals for the two NRSA's are to attract and retain businesses, increase diversity, improve opportunities, increase public safety, improve physical appearance of neighborhood, assist homeowners to preserve their housing, improve neighborhood facilities, and improve appearance and appeal of existing apartment buildings.



## Leveraging

**Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.**

During FY15-16 the City of Springfield attracted and utilized significant non-entitlement funds. The sources of these funds include other federal grants, local and state bonds, resources from numerous State agencies, private foundations grants and private financing. Other sources of funds utilized during FY 15-16 US Dept of HUD - Disaster Recovery \$4,240,320.78, NSP \$14,431.96, Shelter Plus Care \$276,069.00, and CoC \$1,901,138.49. State Funding Chapter 90 \$2,730,505.88, PARC \$2,059,861.64, and Heartwap \$566,975. The Union Station Project uses a combination of Federal, State and City funding. \$19,425,146.00 was expended in FY16.

The City is exempt from the HOME match requirement. Vendors and service providers provide the required ESG match. As a component of their application and monthly reports, each provider must detail their matching funds. Resources include Department of Child and Family Services, RAFT, Department of Transitional Assistance, DHCD, Department of Mental Health, MA Housing, and Private financing. The city also anticipates it will use publicly owned land to further its objectives. City-owned properties will be used to further affordable housing, citizen participation, and economic development objectives. The City, through its Tax Title foreclosure process, strives to create as much affordable housing as is feasible through public auctions or via a Request for Proposals process. The City is currently devising a city-wide, neighborhood specific housing strategy geared toward maximizing homeownership.

<b>Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match</b>	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	0
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	0
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	0
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	0
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	0

**Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report**

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

### HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at beginning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
0	9,630	9,312	2,177	318

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	48,783,040	0	0	0	0	48,783,040
Number	4	0	0	0	0	4
Sub-Contracts						
Number	98	0	0	6	4	88
Dollar Amount	35,632,376	0	0	3,204,269	1,437,185	30,990,922
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	48,783,040	0	48,783,040			
Number	4	0	4			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	98	3	95			
Dollar Amount	35,632,376	4,541,342	31,091,034			

**Table 8 – Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises**

<b>Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted</b>						
	<b>Total</b>	<b>Minority Property Owners</b>				<b>White Non-Hispanic</b>
		<b>Alaskan Native or American Indian</b>	<b>Asian or Pacific Islander</b>	<b>Black Non-Hispanic</b>	<b>Hispanic</b>	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property**

<b>Relocation and Real Property Acquisition</b> – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		0		0		
Businesses Displaced		0		0		
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0		0		
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0		0		
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition**

## CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	115	168
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	389	211
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	26	82
<b>Total</b>	<b>530</b>	<b>461</b>

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	141	250
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	3	1
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	10	126
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	83	84
<b>Total</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>461</b>

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

**Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.**

The City used HUD Consolidated Plan funds to assist 461 households, which is 87% of the goal for the year. The total goal number had included additional federal funding sources (NSP and CDBG-DR), as well as state funds. With all sources included, the City exceeded the goal.

**Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.**

The City will continue to use HUD funds as leverage to obtain additional funds to meet goals for providing affordable housing.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	20	60
Low-income	11	11
Moderate-income	6	20
<b>Total</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>91</b>

Table 13 – Number of Persons Served

### Narrative Information

Through a variety of programs, the City was able to assist low and moderate income homeowners and renters. Programs included homeowner repair, downpayment assistance, homeless prevention and rapid rehousing, project based homeownership, multi-family rental rehabilitation, and TBRA.

## **CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)**

**Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:**

### **Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs**

The CoC's very strong outreach capacity is indicated by the progress made over many years in reducing our street population, which was only 12 in the City of Springfield at our most recent point-in-time count in January 2016. Our outreach providers know our street population and work actively to engage them in housing solutions. Outreach is conducted throughout Hampden County by Eliot Community Human Services (funded by the PATH program) and Mercy Medical Center's Health Care for the Homeless. In Springfield, additional outreach is provided by the Mental Health Association. These agencies coordinate with City staff and the Springfield Police Department.

### **Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons**

The Springfield Hampden County CoC conducts an annual point-in-time count, shelter/housing inventory, and a gaps analysis to analyze the need for inventory. The gaps analysis indicates that Springfield has sufficient emergency shelter beds and sufficient transitional housing.

In FY16, the City provided funds to Friends of the Homeless, which provided shelter to 553 individuals over the course of the year, and the YWCA, which provided shelter to 155 people (individuals and families) that had experienced domestic violence. Additional emergency shelter capacity in the City is maintained by the Springfield Rescue Mission, which is privately funded and operates a 40 bed seasonal shelter. The state of Massachusetts funds over 300 units of family shelter in Springfield, and expands capacity through use of motels when those units are full. In FY16, the state improved diversion and rapid rehousing placements which substantially reduced the number of families living in motels.

The City and nonprofits operating in the City have shifted programs that provide transitional housing to permanent supportive housing models, based on evidence that PSH is a more effective tool in ending homelessness. The Springfield/Hampden Continuum of Care does fund Gandara to operate a small transitional housing program for youth, and both the YWCA and HAP Housing operate transitional housing programs for victims of domestic violence. There are also several privately-funded transitional housing facilities in the City, including programs operated by the Rescue Mission, the Bi-Lingual Veterans Outreach Center, and Holy Redeemer Cathedral Ministries.

**Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections**

**programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs**

The CoC sponsors a monthly meeting of police, crisis team workers, emergency room staff, and staff of behavioral health programs to coordinate referral of vulnerable persons who are in and out of systems of care to appropriate housing and service alternatives. Separately, the CoC's primary youth provider, Gandara, coordinates closely with the state foster care and youth services agencies to coordinate housing for youth coming out of those systems of care.

Homelessness prevention resources are targeted to those who are most at risk of homelessness. The Tenancy Preservation Program (TPP) assists households where behavior health issues are causing eviction, by bringing in the resources to improve the issues leading to lease violations. TPP is funded by the state of Massachusetts, and City of Springfield ESG funds. HAP Housing and Catholic Charities, both funded with Springfield ESG funds, provide prevention funds and housing stabilization services to low-income households in the eviction process.

**Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again**

The Hamden County CoC has focused attention and resources into creation of permanent supportive housing for both individuals and families, and targets all of these units to the chronically homeless using a Housing First model. The CoC continues to identify resources for creation of additional permanent supportive housing. The CoC has a coordinated entry system that targets permanent supportive housing to those with the longest histories of homelessness and the greatest service needs.

The CoC has created a rapid rehousing system over the past several years, which is effective in quickly moving homeless families and individuals with some sufficient level of income into permanent affordable housing. The City of Springfield allocates a substantial amount of ESG funds to rapid rehousing. CoC providers also receive CoC funds and state ESG funds for rapid rehousing.



## **CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)**

### **Actions taken to address the needs of public housing**

The City of Springfield and the Springfield Housing Authority partnered to be funded for and carry out a Choice Neighborhoods Planning project, which was focused on an obsolete public housing project, Marble Street Apartments, and the distressed neighborhood in which the project was located. Through the planning process, the residents and neighborhood decided that, given the very large concentration of federally-subsidized privately-owned housing in the South End, the goal was not to replace Marble Street Apartments, but instead to assist occupants to move from the neighborhood. The City and SHA are working together to implement the neighborhood transformation plan.

The City has committed CDBG-DR funds to assist SHA to replace units destroyed in the 2011 tornado, and the entities collaborated in the planning for these units in the last fiscal year. Construction of four units began this fiscal year.

SHA has continued to expand its stock of handicap-accessible units through conversion. In addition the four units under construction will all be handicap accessible.

### **Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership**

SHA encourages public housing residents to become more involved in management through the following:

- A resident member of the SHA Board of Commissioners

- A staff member from the Resident Services Department who is assigned as a liaison to tenant councils to assist in strengthening their operations and activities

- Cooperatively working with tenant councils and outreach to developments without councils to invite their participation as members of the Resident Advisory Board (RAB)

- Outreach to residents to encourage the formation of new tenant councils.

- Encouragement of tenant council presidents to attend annual conferences of the MA Union of Public Housing Tenants (state tenant advocacy agency); SHA funds their conference and travel costs

Actions taken by the SHA to promote homeownership include:

- Provision of information and referral to homeownership classes to all tenants paying flat rent

- Provision by the Resident Services Department of financial literacy classes for residents, which

include a component about homeownership and referral to first-time home buyer classes

Operation of a Homeownership Program which supports and counsels Section 8 participants about how to use a voucher to become a homeowner. The SHA's Section 8 Homeownership program, with 62 home owners, is the largest in Massachusetts.

### **Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs**

The Springfield Housing Authority is not designated as troubled.

DRAFT

## **CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)**

**Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)**

The City does not have in place these types of policy barriers to affordable housing, and has affordable housing units in every neighborhood of the City. However, Springfield has in the past year collaborated with the Pioneer Valley Planning Commission to create a regional housing plan, and the plan identified these types of barriers in many of the areas surrounding Springfield. The City serves on the regional planning committee's Inclusive Communities Task Force as it attempts to identify ways to remove or ameliorate these barriers throughout the region.

### **Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

The greatest obstacle to meeting the needs identified in the plan is the lack of resources needed to do so. The City strives to maximize the resources it has, through targeting and efficient programming, and it attempts to increase the resources available to address the needs. The City's attempts to increase resources will include:

Application for competitive grant funding;

Partnership with the private sector to achieve community goals;

Assistance to nonprofit agencies and private partners to enable them to compete for government and private funds; Advocacy with state administration and local legislative delegation to increase state support for priority City projects and initiatives.

The City was awarded a \$17 million National Disaster Resilience grant, which will support multiple resilience activities throughout the City's low-income neighborhoods.

The City's response is also limited by restrictions placed on available funding sources. Most significantly, restrictions on the use of CDBG funds make it difficult for the City to use these funds more broadly for job creation and job training, which are critical needs in the City.

### **Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

Specific actions the City took to evaluate lead-paint hazards included:

- City Code Enforcement inspections, which evaluate potential hazards in units where children under six reside and enforce remediation in compliance with Massachusetts lead laws
- Mandatory pre-rehabilitation lead testing including soil samples for all HOME-funded project-

based homeownership and multi-family production programs. Specific actions to reduce the number of housing units containing lead-based paint hazards included:

- Aggressive enforcement, including—as necessary—legal prosecution of property owners who fail to comply with orders to remediate hazards
- Mandatory remediation within rehabilitation specifications for all project-based and multi-family projects
- Referral of property owners to state-funded lead abatement programs. In FY16, the City secured \$4.5 million in CDBG-NDR funds to be used for housing rehabilitation, including lead remediation. In compliance with Title X, the City has integrated lead hazard controls into all housing policies and programs. Federal requirements for evaluating and remediating lead hazards are fully incorporated into the City's protocol for housing rehabilitation.

### **Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

Springfield has a very high poverty rate: 29% of its residents have incomes below the poverty rate set by the Office of Management and Budget (\$24,250 for a family of four in 2015). Forty-four percent of children under 18 live in poverty, giving the city one of the highest child poverty rates in the state.

There is a strong correlation between literacy rates (early childhood education, K-12, and adult education) and the incidence of poverty. Many poor families are headed by parents who have not finished high school, and cannot compete for better-paying jobs. In Springfield, 24% of adults age 25 and over have not completed high school or earned a GED; only 17% have earned a bachelor's degree. Without further education, many low-income parents are unlikely to earn incomes that will support their families.

The City's anti-poverty efforts focus on three broad categories: increasing education and literacy; increasing employment and training opportunities; and increasing household assets.

Many low-income adults lack the education and English language proficiency needed to support their efforts to attain self-sufficiency. In order to assist individuals in overcoming these barriers, the City allocates CDBG funds to Adult Basic Education, GED and English Language classes. In FY 15-16, the City funded adult education programs at New North Citizens Council, The Gray House and the YWCA, serving a total of 175 people.

Within Springfield, there is a mis-match between the jobs available and the skill levels of local residents; the problem is even more pronounced with regard to youth. In FY15-16, the City used CDBG funds to support education, employment and job training opportunities for at-risk persons in the YWCA YouthBuild Program, ROCA Transitional Employment Program and New North Citizens Council Hands in Labor; benefitting 197 low income persons.

The City has many Veterans who need assistance in reengaging into the community and need of employment. Springfield Partners for Community Action, through their Veterans Work First

Program, provided services to Veterans who are in critical need of employment via job training, employment, skills development and workshops. The program benefitted 44 low income persons.

The City has a well-developed Section 3 program, which it uses to connect low-income residents and businesses that employ these residents to employment in development projects.

Homeownership is a long-term intergenerational strategy for asset-building. The City supports homeownership through its downpayment assistance program, which assisted 84 households purchase homes in FY16, and through its affordable homeownership development program. The City developed one homeownership home in FY16, and has four more homes under development.

#### **Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

In FY14 and FY15, the City undertook a comprehensive planning process directed toward increasing the City's resilience to the expected impacts of climate change. This work has incorporated extensive inter-departmental cooperation and planning, as well as frequent and ongoing community engagement. While the effort originated through the City's preparation to apply for the National Disaster Resilience Competition, the planning effort has led to numerous policy and legislative changes that are being enacted even if the City is not awarded a grant. The planning effort has improved the City's ability to respond to cross-sector issues.

#### **Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

The City works to enhance coordination between public and private housing, health, and social service agencies through multi-disciplinary/multi-agency task forces and teams that focus on particular issues or neighborhoods. Some of these teams that have been active during FY15-16 are: The Springfield Food Policy Council, the Springfield Adolescent Sexual Health Advisory Committee; the Springfield/Hampden County Continuum of Care; the Buy Springfield Now committee; the Closing the Achievement Gap Initiative; the State Street Alliance; the Old Hill Collaborative and 4 neighborhood-based C3 public safety initiatives.

#### **Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)**

The City funded the Massachusetts Fair Housing Center, the region's fair housing education and enforcement center. Massachusetts Fair Housing Center accepts housing discrimination complaints and provides free legal assistance to people who have been victims of discrimination.

Springfield's Office of Housing provides fair housing materials to the public, in English and Spanish, and displays fair housing posters to inform residents of their fair housing rights.

The City provided homebuyer assistance to 84 income-eligible first-time homebuyers purchasing homes within the City in FY16. The program is frequently a tool that enables people of color to purchase their first homes; in 2015-2016, 37% of assisted households were Hispanic, 24% were African-American, and 32% were multiple races. The City's homebuyer assistance program may be used in any of the City's seventeen neighborhoods. Within the City, there are only three neighborhoods in the City whites make up more than 60% of the population (Indian Orchard 66%, Sixteen Acres 71%, and East Forest Park 84%). These neighborhoods are well-represented among locations for first-time homebuyers receiving assistance from the program.

All housing units developed or rehabilitated with HOME or NSP assistance are required to be marketed to 'those persons least likely to apply.'

The City provides homebuyer education classes in Spanish. The class includes components addressing fair housing component and predatory lending.

The City has committed to spend over 95% of its \$22 million CDBG-DR grant in low-income neighborhoods that are made up predominantly of persons of color. The City has been awarded \$17 million CDBG-NDC funds that are programmed for the same neighborhoods.

All rental rehabilitation funded by the City results in lead-safe housing. Beginning in 2016, the City will use \$5 million in NDR funds for a comprehensive housing rehabilitation program, which will include lead remediation for all enrolled units.

The Springfield Housing Authority has hired a Section 8 Housing Voucher mobility counselor. The City and SHA provided comments to HUD urging use of small market Fair Market Rents (FMRs) for the Section 8 program in the Springfield Metropolitan Area.

The City is an active member in the Pioneer Valley Inclusive Communities Task Force.

## **CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230**

**Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements**

Most community development projects are implemented by CD staff, with internal monitoring via the Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS) project reports, and strong internal procedures. For these projects and others implemented by City departments (such as public works), the CD staff fully understands and incorporates program requirements.

A comprehensive system has been developed by CD for subrecipients in order to insure compliance with program and budget requirements. These subrecipients are usually implementing a social service or housing program. OPED has contractual agreements with subrecipients of the program funds that require compliance with programmatic statutes and regulations. OPED is responsible for ensuring that subrecipients comply with all regulations governing their administrative, financial and programmatic operations and confirm that the subrecipients are achieving their performance objectives within the contractual schedule and budget and performance measurement system. Per HUD's recommendation, OPED utilizes a coordinated project monitoring process, including coordinated fiscal and program on-site monitoring visits.

Main program files for CDBG-funded programs and projects are maintained within CD's central file system, but the programs and projects are managed by program delivery staff within their respective departments. The Community Development Director of Administration and Finance has primary responsibility for long term compliance with program and comprehensive planning requirements. In addition, CD staff oversee the fiscal monitoring of all activities funded through CDBG, HOME, ESG and HOPWA. CD monitors CDBG contracts with organizations, and inter-departmental agreements with other City departments.

The CD Administration Division maintains a master contract schedule to track the dates and results of monitoring for all subrecipients. The schedule measures against six factors:

RISK FACTOR 1: Subrecipient is new to the program

RISK FACTOR 2: Turnover of key staff

RISK FACTOR 3: Prior compliance or performance problems

RISK FACTOR 4: Subrecipient is carrying out a high risk activity (*e.g.* economic development)

RISK FACTOR 5: Multiple CDBG Contracts for the first time

#### RISK FACTOR 6: Reports not turned in on time

Any contract not included in the program monitoring schedule is subject to "bench monitoring." This process involves contract scope review and review of monthly report forms and monthly narratives submitted by the subrecipient.

#### **Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)**

##### **Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.**

The City's Participation Plan is designed to encourage citizens to participate in the development of the Consolidated Plan, Annual Action Plan, substantial amendments to the Consolidated Plan, and CAPER Performance Reports. As described in the plan, the City encourages the input of low moderate income residents by (1) outreach to the public through mailings, (2) conducting a series of public hearings at various stages of the planning process, (3) creating a system to accept and respond to written comments from the citizens.

To ensure participation among low/moderate income residents, public hearings are held in areas where funds are mostly to be spent and to public housing residents.

The City makes information available and accessible to all interested parties. All information is provided in English and Spanish.

In order to publicize the public hearings, the City mailed a flyer to the individuals and organizations listed on the Office of Community Development's mailing list, posted the flyer on the City's Community Development website, printed advertisements in the Neighborhood Plus section of the Republican, LaVoz, Spanish newspaper, and legal notices were published in the Republican in both English and Spanish.

The CAPER for the fiscal year that commenced on July 1, 2015-June 30, 2016 (FY15-16), was posted online and available for public review from September 7-September 21, 2016 and a public hearing was held on September 13, 2016 at 5:00 pm, at Springfield City Hall, Room 220. During the review period copies of the DRAFT CAPER were available at the following places:

Office of Planning & Economic Development, 70 Tapley Street;

Office of Housing, 1600 East Columbus Avenue;

City of Springfield, City Library, 220 State Street;

<http://www.springfieldcityhall.com/cos/services/deptcd.htm>



An announcement about the hearing and the availability of the DRAFT document was published in English and Spanish in the Springfield Republican on August 24, 2016; the Local Section, Neighborhoods Plus, of the Republican on September 7, 2016 and in the Spanish Newspaper, LaVoz on September 9, 2016. A flyer was mailed to persons and organizations included on the Office of Community Development's extensive mailing list. The advertisement also solicited written feedback from Springfield residents.

Comments and questions that are received on the DRAFT CAPER during the comment period are included in attachment #---- of this document.

DRAFT

**CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)**

**Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.**

The City does not plan to make changes to its objectives.

The City has identified that there may be a need for additional outreach to the Asian community, and it will undertake this outreach in the upcoming year.

<b>Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?</b>	No
--	----

**[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.**

DRAFT

## **CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)**

### **Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations**

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

The City completed on-site monitoring for all assisted affordable rental housing scheduled to be monitored this fiscal year. The following properties were inspected: Pynchon I, Pynchon II, Spring Hill Apartments, Maple High Apartments, St. James Manor, Belle Franklin I, Belle Franklin II, Liberty Hill Coop, Jefferson Park, New Court Terrace, Quadrangle Court, the Kenwyn, The Rainville, City View Commons I, City View Commons II, Worthington Commons, Mason Wright, HAH-YMCA, New Court Apartments, BC Cumberland Homes, Forest Park Condos, Spring Meadow Apts., Better Homes Tapley, Northern Heights, center City, Museum Park I, Museum Park II, Cathedral Hill Apts., 34 Terrence St., and Memorial Parish.

No violations or concerns were identified at any of the projects, with one exception. Monitoring detected some HQS violations in some TBRA units. The City has required that repairs be made and has scheduled re-inspections for early September 2016.

### **Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)**

The City's population includes 41% Hispanic, 22% Black, and 2% Asian. The population served by HOME programs in the last fiscal year was 42% Hispanic, 28% Black, 1% Asian, and 20% multiple races. The City's white population (which included persons who are Hispanic) is 54%, and HOME programs served 50% persons who are white.

This data indicates that affirmative marketing campaigns are successfully reaching people of all races and ethnicities.

### **Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics**

The City of Springfield recorded \$9,629.93 program income during the 2015-2016 fiscal year. Of the \$9,629.93, \$7,985.00 are recaptured funds and \$1,644.93 is program income.

A total of \$7,288.86 was used for the First Time Homebuyer program. Five homebuyers were assisted. Three homebuyers were Other Multi-racial; two were very low income and one was low income- IDIS

#4450,#4451,#4613. Two homebuyers were White; one was low income and the other was low-mod-IDIS #4580 and #4591. \$2,176.58 was used for Tenant Based Rental Assistance IDIS #4582. The final \$164.49 was used for HOME administration, IDIS #4537.

**Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)**

The City has provided funding for redevelopment of the following projects in this fiscal year: Outing Park II (92 units), Hunter Place (80 units), and Colonial Estates (500 units). Each of these are existing affordable housing projects which are being redeveloped and will remain as affordable housing. The City provided HOME funds for a small number of units in each of these projects, which provided leverage that enabled property owners to redevelop a much larger number of units using multiple funding sources, including LIHTC funds.

The City is using NSP, CDBG-DR and NDR funds to create affordable housing. NSP is funding affordable homeownership and rehabilitation of multi-family rental housing; CDBG-DR is being used to create affordable homeownership and replacement of public housing; and NDR is being used for rehabilitation of rental housing.

## CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)

### Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Table for report on the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities for: short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance; and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds.

Number of Households Served Through:	One-year Goal	Actual
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance to prevent homelessness of the individual or family	70	56
Tenant-based rental assistance	25	26
Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	0	0
Units provided in transitional short-term housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	0	0

Table 14 – HOPWA Number of Households Served

### Narrative

Springfield is the grantee for entitlement HOPWA funds for the Eligible Metropolitan Statistical Area (EMSA) of Hampden, Hampshire and Franklin Counties. The City implements the HOPWA program through four subrecipients: New North Citizens Council, River Valley Counseling Center, Cooley Dickinson - A Positive Place, and the Center for Human Development's HIV/AIDS Law Consortium.

In FY15-16, recipients of HOPWA funding served 252 unduplicated households. The funded agencies provided Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) to 26 households; Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility (STRMU) Assistance to 56 households; housing information to 54 households and supportive services to 116 households.

Over 40% of funds are used to provide Tenant-Based Rental Assistance or Short Term Rental, Utilities or Mortgage Assistance. The rest of the funds go to supportive services, including Rental Start-Up (first, last and security deposit) and legal assistance related to housing issues.

## CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

### ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

#### For Paperwork Reduction Act

#### 1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

##### Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	SPRINGFIELD
Organizational DUNS Number	073011921
EIN/TIN Number	046001415
Identify the Field Office	BOSTON
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	Springfield CoC

##### ESG Contact Name

Prefix	0
First Name	Geraldine
Middle Name	0
Last Name	McCafferty
Suffix	0
Title	Director of Housing

##### ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	Office of Housing
Street Address 2	0
City	Springfield
State	MA
ZIP Code	-
Phone Number	4138865014
Extension	0
Fax Number	0
Email Address	gmccafferty@springfieldcityhall.com

##### ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	
First Name	
Last Name	
Suffix	
Title	
Phone Number	
Extension	
Email Address	

#### 2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

CAPER

30

**Program Year Start Date** 07/01/2015  
**Program Year End Date** 06/30/2016

**3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient**

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** HAP,INC

**City:** Springfield

**State:** MA

**Zip Code:** 01105, 2408

**DUNS Number:** 087452496

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 92411

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** YWCA OF WESTERN MASSACHUSETTS

**City:** Springfield

**State:** MA

**Zip Code:** 01118, 2213

**DUNS Number:** 066994534

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 15000

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** FRIENDS OF THE HOMELESS

**City:** Springfield

**State:** MA

**Zip Code:** 01105, 1392

**DUNS Number:** 191488006

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 100000

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** CATHOLIC CHARITIES OF SPRINGFIELD, MASS

**City:** Springfield

**State:** MA

**Zip Code:** 01105, 1713

**DUNS Number:** 605761795

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Faith-Based Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 64113

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** MENTAL HEALTH ASSOCIATION INC

**City:** Springfield

**State:** MA

**Zip Code:** 01109, 4027

**DUNS Number:** 037682044

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** 30000

DRAFT



## CR-65 - Persons Assisted

### 4. Persons Served

#### 4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>

Table 15 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

#### 4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>

Table 16 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

#### 4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>

Table 17 – Shelter Information

#### 4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>

Table 18 – Household Information for Street Outreach

#### 4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>

Table 19 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

#### 5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	0
Female	0
Transgender	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>

Table 20 - Gender Information

## 6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	0
18-24	0
25 and over	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>

Table 21 – Age Information

## 7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households				
Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	0	0	0	0
Victims of Domestic Violence	0	0	0	0
Elderly	0	0	0	0
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0	0
Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0
<b>Persons with Disabilities:</b>				
Severely Mentally Ill	0	0	0	0
Chronic Substance Abuse	0	0	0	0
Other Disability	0	0	0	0
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	0	0	0	0

Table 22 – Special Population Served

## CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

### 10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	71,175
Total Number of bed-nights provided	61,693
Capacity Utilization	86.68%

Table 23 – Shelter Capacity

### 11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

The City and the CoC have collaborated to update performance standards for ESG projects.

The performance standards that have been set for ESG programs are as follows:

Utilization rate: 100%

Targeting for literally homeless (for rapid rehousing programs): 100%

Average length of stay (for emergency shelter programs) 30 days or 5% improvement over prior year

Exit data captured: Prevention - 90%; Individual shelter - 35%; Family shelter - 75%; Rapid rehousing - 90%

Exit to permanent housing: Individual shelter - 20%; Family shelter - 60%; Rapid rehousing - 90%

Receipt of non-cash benefits: For family shelter and rapid rehousing: 90%

Missing data elements: For prevention and family shelter - no more than 3%; all others - no more than 5%

Timely invoicing: monthly

Grant spend-down: at least 90%

All programs performed satisfactorily, although not all were able to meet all performance goals. Each program has been provided a report card which indicates areas where improvement is needed.

## CR-75 – Expenditures

### 11. Expenditures

#### 11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	116,470	90,980	94,125
<b>Subtotal Homelessness Prevention</b>	<b>116,470</b>	<b>90,980</b>	<b>94,125</b>

Table 24 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

#### 11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	136,494	119,426	125,318
<b>Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing</b>	<b>136,494</b>	<b>119,426</b>	<b>125,318</b>

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

#### 11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Essential Services	0	0	0
Operations	41,390	68,582	65,168
Renovation	0	0	0
Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>41,390</b>	<b>68,582</b>	<b>65,168</b>

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

**11d. Other Grant Expenditures**

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
HMIS	0	0	0
Administration	20,074	22,907	24,447
Street Outreach	0	0	0

Table 27 - Other Grant Expenditures

**11e. Total ESG Grant Funds**

Total ESG Funds Expended	2013	2014	2015
925,381	314,428	301,895	309,058

Table 28 - Total ESG Funds Expended

**11f. Match Source**

	2013	2014	2015
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	0
Other Federal Funds	0	0	0
State Government	1,145,126	1,758,428	1,042,152
Local Government	0	0	0
Private Funds	87,500	60,410	62,000
Other	0	0	0
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
<b>Total Match Amount</b>	<b>1,232,626</b>	<b>1,818,838</b>	<b>1,104,152</b>

Table 29 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

**11g. Total**

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2013	2014	2015
5,080,997	1,547,054	2,120,733	1,413,210

Table 30 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

DRAFT

## Attachment

PR-26

DRAFT





Office of Community Planning and Development  
 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development  
 Integrated Disbursement and Information System  
 PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report  
 Program Year 2015  
 SPRINGFIELD, MA

DATE: 08-29-16  
 TIME: 11:54  
 PAGE: 1

**PART I: SUMMARY OF CDBG RESOURCES**

01 UNEXPENDED CDBG FUNDS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
02 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	3,554,172.00
03 SURPLUS URBAN RENEWAL	0.00
04 SECTION 108 GUARANTEED LOAN FUNDS	0.00
05 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	209,885.10
05a CURRENT YEAR SECTION 108 PROGRAM INCOME (FOR SI TYPE)	0.00
06 FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LINE OF CREDIT	0.00
06a FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LOCAL CDBG ACCOUNT	0.00
07 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AVAILABLE	0.00
08 TOTAL AVAILABLE (SUM, LINES 01-07)	3,764,057.10

**PART II: SUMMARY OF CDBG EXPENDITURES**

09 DISBURSEMENTS OTHER THAN SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS AND PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	3,257,830.88
10 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT	0.00
11 AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT (LINE 09 + LINE 10)	3,257,830.88
12 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	749,749.16
13 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS	0.00
14 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL EXPENDITURES	0.00
15 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (SUM, LINES 11-14)	1,007,580.01
16 UNEXPENDED BALANCE (LINE 08 - LINE 15)	(213,522.94)

**PART III: LOW/MOD BENEFIT THIS REPORTING PERIOD**

17 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD HOUSING IN SPECIAL AREAS	0.00
18 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD MULTI-UNIT HOUSING	0.00
19 DISBURSED FOR OTHER LOW/MOD ACTIVITIES	2,803,952.27
20 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT	0.00
21 TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT (SUM, LINES 17-20)	2,803,952.27
22 PERCENT LOW/MOD CREDIT (LINE 21/LINE 11)	86.07%

**LOW/MOD BENEFIT FOR MULTI-YEAR CERTIFICATIONS**

23 PROGRAM YEARS(PY) COVERED IN CERTIFICATION	PY: 2013 PY: 2014 PY: 2015
24 CUMULATIVE NET EXPENDITURES SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT CALCULATION	9,649,007.00
25 CUMULATIVE EXPENDITURES BENEFITTING LOW/MOD PERSONS	8,380,696.00
26 PERCENT BENEFIT TO LOW/MOD PERSONS (LINE 25/LINE 24)	86.94%

**PART IV: PUBLIC SERVICE (PS) CAP CALCULATIONS**

27 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PUBLIC SERVICES	616,604.95
28 PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
29 PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
30 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS	(129,704.00)
31 TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS (LINE 27 + LINE 28 - LINE 29 + LINE 30)	486,900.95
32 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	3,554,172.00
33 PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	187,867.03
34 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP	0.00
35 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP (SUM, LINES 32-34)	3,742,039.03
36 PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PS ACTIVITIES (LINE 31/LINE 35)	13.01%

**PART V: PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATION (PA) CAP**

37 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	749,749.16
38 PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
39 PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
40 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS	0.00
41 TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS (LINE 37 + LINE 38 - LINE 39 + LINE 40)	749,749.16
42 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	3,554,172.00
43 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	209,885.10
44 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP	0.00
45 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP (SUM, LINES 42-44)	3,764,057.10
46 PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PA ACTIVITIES (LINE 41/LINE 45)	19.92%



Office of Community Planning and Development  
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development  
Integrated Disbursement and Information System  
PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report  
Program Year 2015  
SPRINGFIELD, MA

DATE: 09-29-16  
TIME: 11:54  
PAGE: 2

LINE 17 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE 17

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Target Area Type	Drawn Amount
2015	46	4475	5881716	Green N-Fit Neighborhood Rebuild	14A	LMH	Strategy area	\$3,553.45
2015	46	4475	5943091	Green N-Fit Neighborhood Rebuild	14A	LMH	Strategy area	\$21,446.51
					14A	Matrix Code		\$25,000.00
<b>Total</b>								<b>\$25,000.00</b>

LINE 18 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE 18

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2014	8	4291	Rehabilitation	14B	LMH	\$30,000.00
				14B	Matrix Code	\$30,000.00
Total						\$30,000.00

LINE 19 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 19

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2015	50	4484	5872499	Disposition	02	LMA	\$16,033.94
2015	50	4484	5881716	Disposition	02	LMA	\$2,967.99
2015	50	4484	5898602	Disposition	02	LMA	\$7,421.43
2015	50	4484	5918457	Disposition	02	LMA	\$7,623.96
2015	50	4484	5925881	Disposition	02	LMA	\$741.36
2015	50	4484	5943274	Disposition	02	LMA	\$359.41
					02	Matrix Code	\$35,017.00
2013	16	4018	5829598	Neighborhood Target Improvement Program	03	LMA	\$6,910.00
2013	16	4018	5882564	Neighborhood Target Improvement Program	03	LMA	\$1,648.00
2013	16	4018	5898645	Neighborhood Target Improvement Program	03	LMA	\$15,243.04
2013	16	4018	5917529	Neighborhood Target Improvement Program	03	LMA	\$3,172.00
2013	16	4018	5931107	Neighborhood Target Improvement Program	03	LMA	\$9,666.00
2014	74	4288	5882567	Park Reconstruction	03	LMA	\$53,885.57
2014	74	4288	5936546	Park Reconstruction	03	LMA	\$60,886.42
2015	49	4620	5918457	Martin Luther King Family Services Rehab	03	LMA	\$8,333.33
2015	49	4622	5946771	Caring Health Center	03	LMA	\$13,500.00
2015	49	4624	5950414	Children's Study Home	03	LMA	\$11,400.00
2015	49	4625	5943591	ROCA	03	LMA	\$17,500.00
2015	49	4628	5946771	Springfield Boys & Girls Club	03	LMA	\$19,100.00
2015	53	4488	5918457	Park Reconstruction	03	LMA	\$79,374.23
2015	53	4488	5925881	Park Reconstruction	03	LMA	\$93,750.75
2015	53	4488	5943274	Park Reconstruction	03	LMA	\$42,338.97
					03	Matrix Code	\$387,608.31
2014	73	4287	5839503	Streets/Sidewalks	03L	LMA	\$73,298.50
2014	73	4287	5849668	Streets/Sidewalks	03L	LMA	\$80,211.03
2014	73	4287	5863021	Streets/Sidewalks	03L	LMA	\$99,142.42
2014	73	4287	5882567	Streets/Sidewalks	03L	LMA	\$6,590.00
2014	73	4287	5898647	Streets/Sidewalks	03L	LMA	\$4,575.00
2014	73	4287	5925653	Streets/Sidewalks	03L	LMA	\$28,325.00
2014	73	4287	5943223	Streets/Sidewalks	03L	LMA	\$8,400.00
2015	52	4486	5925881	Streets & Sidewalks	03L	LMA	\$158,256.31
2015	52	4486	5943274	Streets & Sidewalks	03L	LMA	\$69,041.60
2015	52	4486	5950414	Streets & Sidewalks	03L	LMA	\$50,192.17
					03L	Matrix Code	\$578,432.03
2014	16	4295	5943223	Clearance & Demo	04	LMA	\$3,536.32
2015	47	4180	5872499	Clearance & Demo	04	LMA	\$11,598.73
2015	47	4180	5881716	Clearance & Demo	04	LMA	\$2,445.26



Office of Community Planning and Development  
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development  
Integrated Disbursement and Information System  
PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report  
Program Year 2015  
SPRINGFIELD, MA

DATE: 08-29-16  
TIME: 11:54  
PAGE: 3

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2013	47	4480	5899802	Clearance & Demo	04	LMA	\$399,714.19
2013	47	4480	5918457	Clearance & Demo	04	LMA	\$5,391.95
2013	47	4480	5925881	Clearance & Demo	04	LMA	\$1,516.90
2013	47	4480	5943274	Clearance & Demo	04	LMA	\$6,268.99
					<b>04</b>	<b>Matrix Code</b>	<b>\$430,572.35</b>
2014	3	4237	5839503	Intensive In-Home Case Management	05	LMC	\$326.26
2014	3	4275	5839503	Career Development	05	LMC	\$6,413.19
2015	31	4444	5872499	Parenting & Lifeskills	05	LMC	\$1,131.69
2015	31	4444	5925881	Parenting & Lifeskills	05	LMC	\$2,954.26
2015	31	4444	5950414	Parenting & Lifeskills	05	LMC	\$614.05
2015	34	4462	5899802	YouthBuild	05	LMC	\$5,045.00
2015	34	4462	5918457	YouthBuild	05	LMC	\$2,345.00
2015	34	4462	5943274	YouthBuild	05	LMC	\$3,750.00
					<b>05</b>	<b>Matrix Code</b>	<b>\$23,379.45</b>
2014	3	4248	5835796	Senior Center-Hungry Hill	05A	LMC	\$360.06
2015	16	4429	5872499	Senior Center	05A	LMC	\$132.00
2015	16	4429	5899802	Senior Center	05A	LMC	\$899.63
2015	16	4429	5918457	Senior Center	05A	LMC	\$1,228.73
2015	16	4429	5925881	Senior Center	05A	LMC	\$266.29
2015	16	4429	5943274	Senior Center	05A	LMC	\$2,125.79
2015	16	4429	5950414	Senior Center	05A	LMC	\$763.95
2015	21	4434	5872499	Senior Center-Pine Point	05A	LMC	\$5,000.00
					<b>05A</b>	<b>Matrix Code</b>	<b>\$10,776.47</b>
2015	20	4433	5872499	Camp Star	05B	LMC	\$79,965.00
					<b>05B</b>	<b>Matrix Code</b>	<b>\$79,965.00</b>
2014	3	4266	5835796	Bridging the Gap	05D	LMC	\$1,443.26
2014	3	4268	5835796	Indian Orchard Unit	05D	LMC	\$233.00
2014	3	4269	5835796	Youth Swim & Safety	05D	LMC	\$376.78
2014	3	4270	5835796	Teens in Transition	05D	LMC	\$182.03
2014	3	4270	5839503	Teens in Transition	05D	LMC	\$543.14
2014	3	4274	5835796	Digital Connectors	05D	LMC	\$1,324.67
2015	5	4421	5881716	WFR DuBois Academy	05D	LMC	\$7,372.29
2015	5	4421	5918457	WFR DuBois Academy	05D	LMC	\$953.18
2015	5	4421	5950414	WFR DuBois Academy	05D	LMC	\$357.86
2015	6	4419	5950414	Early Childhood Mental Health Support	05D	LMC	\$4,652.55
2015	7	4420	5872499	ScoutReach	05D	LMC	\$3,445.36
2015	7	4420	5918457	ScoutReach	05D	LMC	\$554.64
2015	8	4422	5899802	Teens in Transition-Family Center	05D	LMC	\$2,242.00
2015	8	4422	5925881	Teens in Transition-Family Center	05D	LMC	\$892.32
2015	8	4422	5943274	Teens in Transition-Family Center	05D	LMC	\$880.23
2015	9	4423	5872499	Camp CASA	05D	LMC	\$4,500.00
2015	10	4424	5872499	Pool Program	05D	LMC	\$17,794.21
2015	11	4425	5872499	Summer Enrichment	05D	LMC	\$92,970.83
2015	17	4430	5861716	College & Career Readiness	05D	LMC	\$3,005.63
2015	17	4430	5899802	College & Career Readiness	05D	LMC	\$940.88
2015	17	4430	5918457	College & Career Readiness	05D	LMC	\$1,037.16
2015	17	4430	5925881	College & Career Readiness	05D	LMC	\$1,932.66
2015	17	4430	5943274	College & Career Readiness	05D	LMC	\$1,764.15
2015	25	4438	5872499	Summer Activities	05D	LMC	\$23,000.00
2015	26	4439	5872499	Indian Orchard Unit	05D	LMC	\$3,816.40
2015	26	4439	5925881	Indian Orchard Unit	05D	LMC	\$281.28
2015	26	4439	5943274	Indian Orchard Unit	05D	LMC	\$1,145.93
2015	27	4440	5872499	Youth Swim & Safety	05D	LMC	\$2,089.79
2015	27	4440	5925881	Youth Swim & Safety	05D	LMC	\$2,609.42
2015	27	4440	5943274	Youth Swim & Safety	05D	LMC	\$357.36
2015	32	4445	5872499	College Career Mentoring	05D	LMC	\$1,230.00





Office of Community Planning and Development  
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development  
Integrated Disbursement and Information System  
PR26 - CDHG Financial Summary Report  
Program Year 2015  
SPRINGFIELD, MA

DATE: 08-29-16  
TIME: 11:56  
PAGE: 4

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2015	32	4445	5943274	College Career Mentoring	05D	LNC	\$1,845.00
					<b>05D</b>	<b>Matrix Code</b>	<b>\$245,674.01</b>
2015	14	4427	5925881	New Life Center for Recovery	05F	LNC	\$7,000.00
					<b>05F</b>	<b>Matrix Code</b>	<b>\$7,000.00</b>
2014	3	4250	5849668	OFair Housing	05J	LMCSV	\$1,521.28
2015	18	4431	5899802	Fair Housing	05J	LNC	\$2,619.53
2015	18	4431	5943274	Fair Housing	05J	LNC	\$2,380.47
					<b>05J</b>	<b>Matrix Code</b>	<b>\$6,521.28</b>
2015	30	4443	5918457	Access Funds	05L	LNC	\$1,858.13
					<b>05L</b>	<b>Matrix Code</b>	<b>\$1,858.13</b>
2014	10	4100	5849668	Elnora L	14A	LMH	\$3,450.00
2014	10	4408	5863021	Yolanda R	14A	LMH	\$14,350.00
2014	10	4109	5849668	Sonia S	14A	LMH	\$10,517.92
2015	42	4476	5872499	Existing Home Rehab	14A	LMH	\$21,371.08
2015	42	4476	5881716	Existing Home Rehab	14A	LMH	\$3,555.89
2015	42	4476	5899802	Existing Home Rehab	14A	LMH	\$10,127.74
2015	42	4476	5918457	Existing Home Rehab	14A	LMH	\$9,611.14
2015	42	4476	5925881	Existing Home Rehab	14A	LMH	\$2,474.43
2015	42	4476	5943274	Existing Home Rehab	14A	LMH	\$20,831.71
2015	42	4560	5872499	Julita L	14A	LMH	\$11,200.00
2015	42	4561	5872499	Marjorie W	14A	LMH	\$17,925.00
2015	42	4562	5872499	Rozelyn D	14A	LMH	\$13,400.00
2015	42	4563	5872499	Jean W	14A	LMH	\$7,200.00
2015	42	4564	5872499	Lynne M	14A	LMH	\$700.00
2015	42	4564	5881716	Lynne M	14A	LMH	\$17,475.00
2015	42	4565	5881716	Gladys R	14A	LMH	\$16,100.00
2015	42	4595	5918457	Cassandra H	14A	LMH	\$14,469.00
2015	42	4596	5918457	Mariann M	14A	LMH	\$17,400.00
2015	42	4597	5918457	Jausto H	14A	LMH	\$13,700.00
2015	42	4598	5918457	Rajab H	14A	LMH	\$20,375.00
2015	42	4599	5918457	Rosa C	14A	LMH	\$22,700.00
2015	42	4601	5918457	Shavona M	14A	LMH	\$5,700.00
2015	42	4602	5918457	Patsy F	14A	LMH	\$13,500.00
2015	42	4603	5918457	Jeraldine F	14A	LMH	\$700.00
2015	42	4603	5943274	Jeraldine F	14A	LMH	\$7,650.00
2015	42	4604	5918457	Pedro R	14A	LMH	\$1,925.00
2015	42	4605	5918457	Carmen F	14A	LMH	\$2,350.00
2015	42	4606	5918457	Tammy B	14A	LMH	\$13,800.00
2015	42	4607	5918457	Paulette T	14A	LMH	\$17,700.00
2015	42	4608	5918457	Jessica M	14A	LMH	\$9,200.00
2015	42	4615	5925881	Miriam G	14A	LMH	\$10,500.00
2015	42	4616	5925881	Val G	14A	LMH	\$12,600.00
2015	42	4617	5925881	Rosie B	14A	LMH	\$700.00
2015	42	4617	5943274	Rosie B	14A	LMH	\$8,752.00
2015	42	4618	5925881	Carmen P	14A	LMH	\$16,320.00
					<b>14A</b>	<b>Matrix Code</b>	<b>\$400,230.91</b>
2015	3	4118	5872499	HEARTWAP	14F	LMH	\$60,845.45
2015	3	4118	5881716	HEARTWAP	14F	LMH	\$9,699.80
2015	3	4418	5899802	HEARTWAP	14F	LMH	\$27,777.43
2015	3	4418	5918457	HEARTWAP	14F	LMH	\$29,308.55
2015	3	4418	5925881	HEARTWAP	14F	LMH	\$8,018.95
2015	3	4418	5943274	HEARTWAP	14F	LMH	\$14,354.80
					<b>14F</b>	<b>Matrix Code</b>	<b>\$150,000.00</b>
2015	44	4177	5872499	First Time Homebuyer Program	14H	LMA	\$35,000.39
2015	44	4477	5881716	First Time Homebuyer Program	14H	LMA	\$5,287.97
2015	44	4177	5899802	First Time Homebuyer Program	14H	LMA	\$16,104.43



Office of Community Planning and Development  
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development  
Integrated Disbursement and Information System  
PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report  
Program Year 2015  
SPRINGFIELD, MA

DATE: 09-29-16  
TIME: 11:54  
PAGE: 5

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2015	44	4477	5918457	First Time Homebuyer Program	14H	LMA	\$17,830.12
2015	44	4477	5925881	First Time Homebuyer Program	14H	LMA	\$4,074.46
2015	44	4477	5943274	First Time Homebuyer Program	14H	LMA	\$16,053.92
2015	55	4490	5872499	Graffiti	14H	LMA	\$10,115.84
2015	55	4490	5881716	Graffiti	14H	LMA	\$1,174.25
2015	55	4490	5899802	Graffiti	14H	LMA	\$2,993.96
2015	55	4490	5918457	Graffiti	14H	LMA	\$1,247.10
2015	55	4490	5925881	Graffiti	14H	LMA	\$4,935.42
2015	55	4490	5943274	Graffiti	14H	LMA	\$8,959.18
					<b>14H</b>	<b>Matrix Code</b>	<b>\$126,777.04</b>
2015	51	4485	5872499	Street Sweeps and Keep Springfield Beautiful	15	LMA	\$15,823.46
2015	51	4485	5881716	Street Sweeps and Keep Springfield Beautiful	15	LMA	\$3,112.32
2015	51	4485	5899802	Street Sweeps and Keep Springfield Beautiful	15	LMA	\$8,859.06
2015	51	4485	5918457	Street Sweeps and Keep Springfield Beautiful	15	LMA	\$586.08
2015	51	4485	5925881	Street Sweeps and Keep Springfield Beautiful	15	LMA	\$3,752.01
2015	51	4485	5943274	Street Sweeps and Keep Springfield Beautiful	15	LMA	\$7,399.42
					<b>15</b>	<b>Matrix Code</b>	<b>\$39,532.35</b>
2014	75	4113	5917927	Celo LLC	18A	LMI	\$5,000.00
2014	75	4114	5849668	Inspired Marketing	18A	LMI	\$5,000.00
2015	54	4110	5863021	Mass Collision	18A	LMI	\$10,000.00
2015	54	4110	5936546	Mass Collision	18A	LMI	\$5,000.00
2015	54	4157	5872499	Charlene's Boutique	18A	LMI	\$10,000.00
2015	54	4157	5943274	Charlene's Boutique	18A	LMI	\$5,000.00
2015	54	4158	5872499	Pine Point variety	18A	LMI	\$10,000.00
2015	54	4158	5899802	Pine Point variety	18A	LMI	\$5,000.00
2015	54	4159	5899802	Meadowbrook Lane Inc.	18A	LMI	\$5,993.00
2015	54	4159	5918457	Meadowbrook Lane Inc.	18A	LMI	\$5,237.50
2015	54	4159	5925881	Meadowbrook Lane Inc.	18A	LMI	\$17,938.00
2015	54	4159	5943274	Meadowbrook Lane Inc.	18A	LMI	\$9,500.00
2015	54	4194	5925881	Salon Brilliance	18A	LMI	\$15,000.00
2015	54	4611	5918457	White Lion Brewing Co.	18A	LMI	\$10,000.00
2015	54	4612	5918457	Oldies from the Estate	18A	LMI	\$6,000.00
2015	54	4612	5943274	Oldies from the Estate	18A	LMI	\$9,000.00
2015	54	4633	5943274	Italian Bread Shop	18A	LMI	\$7,471.37
2015	54	4635	5943991	Slock Hair and Beauty Studio	18A	LMI	\$15,000.00
2015	54	4636	5943991	State & Dond LLC	18A	LMI	\$10,000.00
2015	54	4637	5943991	SciAntics Group Businesses, LLC	18A	LMI	\$10,000.00
2015	54	4638	5943991	K&O Corp	18A	LMI	\$8,101.79
2015	54	4639	5943991	Coldness Couture	18A	LMI	\$7,760.64
					<b>18A</b>	<b>Matrix Code</b>	<b>\$192,004.30</b>
2014	75	4377	5849668	Chapin Corner	18B	LMI	\$14,000.00
2014	75	4377	5863021	Chapin Corner	18B	LMI	\$10,000.00
2014	75	4377	5882967	Chapin Corner	18B	LMI	\$16,000.00
2014	75	4377	5917927	Chapin Corner	18B	LMI	\$8,000.00
2014	75	4377	5925653	Chapin Corner	18B	LMI	\$10,100.00
					<b>18B</b>	<b>Matrix Code</b>	<b>\$58,100.00</b>
2015	48	4481	5872499	Neighborhood Capacity Building	19C	LMA	\$9,708.04
2015	48	4481	5881716	Neighborhood Capacity Building	19C	LMA	\$2,018.67
2015	48	4481	5899802	Neighborhood Capacity Building	19C	LMA	\$5,315.53
2015	48	4481	5918457	Neighborhood Capacity Building	19C	LMA	\$6,414.42
2015	48	4481	5925881	Neighborhood Capacity Building	19C	LMA	\$1,535.80
2015	48	4481	5943274	Neighborhood Capacity Building	19C	LMA	\$5,281.18
					<b>19C</b>	<b>Matrix Code</b>	<b>\$30,473.64</b>
<b>Total</b>							<b>\$2,803,952.27</b>

LINE 27 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 27



Office of Community Planning and Development  
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development  
Integrated Disbursement and Information System  
PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report  
Program Year 2015  
SPRINGFIELD, MA

DATE: 08-29-16  
TIME: 11:54  
PAGE: 6

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2014	3	4237	5839503	Intensive In-Home Case Management	05	LMC	\$826.26
2014	3	4275	5839503	Career Development	05	LMC	\$6,415.19
2014	3	4281	5835796	ESOL/GED	05	LMC	\$226.96
2015	12	4426	5872499	Worthington Street Program-FOH	05	LMC	\$21,885.64
2015	12	4426	5881716	Worthington Street Program-FOH	05	LMC	\$7,121.09
2015	12	4426	5899802	Worthington Street Program-FOH	05	LMC	\$7,715.29
2015	12	4426	5918457	Worthington Street Program-FOH	05	LMC	\$18,625.09
2015	12	4426	5925881	Worthington Street Program-FOH	05	LMC	\$8,673.17
2015	12	4426	5943274	Worthington Street Program-FOH	05	LMC	\$12,920.00
2015	15	4428	5872499	Community Education Support	05	LMC	\$2,500.00
2015	15	4428	5881716	Community Education Support	05	LMC	\$1,250.00
2015	15	4428	5918457	Community Education Support	05	LMC	\$3,750.00
2015	15	4428	5943274	Community Education Support	05	LMC	\$2,500.00
2015	19	4432	5943991	Senior Food Program	05	LMC	\$9,986.96
2015	23	4436	5859802	ROCA- Transitional Employment	05	LMC	\$5,000.00
2015	29	4442	5925881	Veterans Work First Program	05	LMC	\$3,245.13
2015	29	4442	5943274	Veterans Work First Program	05	LMC	\$1,000.00
2015	31	4444	5872499	Parenting & Lifeskills	05	LMC	\$1,431.69
2015	31	4444	5925881	Parenting & Lifeskills	05	LMC	\$2,954.28
2015	31	4444	5950414	Parenting & Lifeskills	05	LMC	\$614.06
2015	34	4462	5859802	YouthBuild	05	LMC	\$5,045.00
2015	34	4462	5918457	YouthBuild	05	LMC	\$2,345.00
2015	34	4462	5943274	YouthBuild	05	LMC	\$3,750.00
2015	36	4470	5881716	Adult Basic Ed	05	LMC	\$4,696.85
2015	36	4470	5918457	Adult Basic Ed	05	LMC	\$13,596.58
2015	36	4470	5943274	Adult Basic Ed	05	LMC	\$12,579.73
2015	37	4471	5918457	Homeless Prevention	05	LMC	\$10,404.78
2015	37	4471	5943274	Homeless Prevention	05	LMC	\$5,951.50
					<b>05</b>	<b>Matrix Code</b>	<b>\$175,044.22</b>
2014	3	4248	5835796	Senior Center-Hungry Hill	05A	LMC	\$860.06
2015	16	4429	5872499	Senior Center	05A	LMC	\$132.00
2015	16	4429	5899802	Senior Center	05A	LMC	\$898.65
2015	16	4429	5918457	Senior Center	05A	LMC	\$1,228.73
2015	16	4429	5925881	Senior Center	05A	LMC	\$268.29
2015	16	4429	5943274	Senior Center	05A	LMC	\$2,125.79
2015	16	4429	5950414	Senior Center	05A	LMC	\$263.95
2015	21	4434	5872499	Senior Center-Pine Point	05A	LMC	\$5,000.00
					<b>05A</b>	<b>Matrix Code</b>	<b>\$10,776.47</b>
2015	20	4433	5872499	Camp Star	05B	LMC	\$79,965.00
					<b>05B</b>	<b>Matrix Code</b>	<b>\$79,965.00</b>
2014	3	4249	5835796	Youth & Young Development	05D	LMC	\$603.55
2014	3	4256	5835796	Bridging the Gap	05D	LMC	\$1,440.20
2014	3	4258	5835796	Indian Orchard Unit	05D	LMC	\$233.00
2014	3	4259	5835796	Youth Swim & Safety	05D	LMC	\$576.78
2014	3	4270	5835796	Teens in Transition	05D	LMC	\$182.03
2014	3	4270	5839503	Teens in Transition	05D	LMC	\$443.14
2014	3	4274	5835796	Digital Connectors	05D	LMC	\$1,324.67
2014	3	4282	5835796	Academic Achievers & Summer in the City	05D	LMC	\$496.48
2015	5	4471	5881716	WEB Dubois Academy	05D	LMC	\$7,572.29
2015	5	4421	5918457	WEB Dubois Academy	05D	LMC	\$953.16
2015	5	4421	5950414	WEB Dubois Academy	05D	LMC	\$357.88
2015	6	4419	5950414	Early Childhood Mental Health Support	05D	LMC	\$4,652.65
2015	7	4420	5872499	ScoutReach	05D	LMC	\$3,445.36
2015	7	4420	5918457	ScoutReach	05D	LMC	\$554.64
2015	8	4422	5899802	Teens In Transition-Family Center	05D	LMC	\$2,242.00
2015	8	4422	5925881	Teens In Transition-Family Center	05D	LMC	\$892.32





Office of Community Planning and Development  
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development  
Integrated Disbursement and Information System  
PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report  
Program Year 2015  
SPRINGFIELD, MA

DATE: 08-28-16  
TIME: 11:54  
PAGE: 7

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2015	8	4422	5943274	Teens In Transition-Family Center	05D	LMC	\$880.23
2015	9	4423	5872499	Camp CASA	05D	LMC	\$4,500.00
2015	10	4424	5872499	Pool Program	05D	LMC	\$77,294.21
2015	11	4425	5872499	Summer Enrichment	05D	LMC	\$82,970.83
2015	13	4487	5872499	Gardening the Community	05D	LMC	\$5,000.00
2015	17	4430	5881716	College & Career Readiness	05D	LMC	\$3,005.83
2015	17	4430	5899802	College & Career Readiness	05D	LMC	\$840.88
2015	17	4430	5918457	College & Career Readiness	05D	LMC	\$1,037.18
2015	17	4430	5925881	College & Career Readiness	05D	LMC	\$1,832.88
2015	17	4430	5943274	College & Career Readiness	05D	LMC	\$1,764.15
2015	24	4437	5872499	Bridging the Gap	05D	LMC	\$4,366.37
2015	24	4437	5881716	Bridging the Gap	05D	LMC	\$1,181.28
2015	24	4437	5899802	Bridging the Gap	05D	LMC	\$1,060.27
2015	24	4437	5918457	Bridging the Gap	05D	LMC	\$2,403.48
2015	24	4437	5925881	Bridging the Gap	05D	LMC	\$1,176.72
2015	24	4437	5943274	Bridging the Gap	05D	LMC	\$2,763.74
2015	24	4437	5950414	Bridging the Gap	05D	LMC	\$1,558.15
2015	25	4438	5872499	Summer Activities	05D	LMC	\$23,000.00
2015	26	4439	5872499	Indian Orchard Unit	05D	LMC	\$3,818.40
2015	26	4439	5925881	Indian Orchard Unit	05D	LMC	\$281.28
2015	26	4439	5943274	Indian Orchard Unit	05D	LMC	\$1,145.93
2015	27	4440	5872499	Youth Swim & Safety	05D	LMC	\$2,089.79
2015	27	4440	5925881	Youth Swim & Safety	05D	LMC	\$2,309.42
2015	27	4440	5943274	Youth Swim & Safety	05D	LMC	\$357.33
2015	28	4441	5943274	Literacy Awards Program	05D	LMC	\$3,370.65
2015	32	4445	5872499	College Career Mentoring	05D	LMC	\$1,230.00
2015	32	4445	5943274	College Career Mentoring	05D	LMC	\$1,945.00
2015	33	4446	5899802	Safe Summer Streets	05D	LMC	\$7,500.00
2015	35	4447	5881716	Teen Prevention Peer Educating	05D	LMC	\$300.00
2015	35	4447	5918457	Teen Prevention Peer Educating	05D	LMC	\$1,550.00
2015	35	4447	5950414	Teen Prevention Peer Educating	05D	LMC	\$2,779.85
2015	38	4472	5881716	TRACKS Program	05D	LMC	\$2,318.99
2015	38	4472	5918457	TRACKS Program	05D	LMC	\$4,312.13
2015	38	4472	5943274	TRACKS Program	05D	LMC	\$3,273.90
2015	41	4475	5881716	Academic Achievers-Summer in the City	05D	LMC	\$10,831.98
2015	41	4475	5918457	Academic Achievers-Summer in the City	05D	LMC	\$3,564.83
2015	41	4475	5943274	Academic Achievers-Summer in the City	05D	LMC	\$503.19
					05D	Matrix Code	\$308,040.14
2015	14	4427	5925881	New Life Center for Recovery	05F	LMC	\$7,000.00
2015	39	4473	5881716	Recovery Support	05F	LMC	\$4,974.54
2015	39	4473	5918457	Recovery Support	05F	LMC	\$5,414.65
2015	39	4473	5943274	Recovery Support	05F	LMC	\$4,472.48
					05F	Matrix Code	\$21,861.67
2015	65	4474	5918457	Hands in Labor	05H	LMC	\$5,347.75
2015	65	4474	5943274	Hands in Labor	05H	LMC	\$6,590.25
					05H	Matrix Code	\$12,538.04
2014	3	4250	5849668	Fair Housing	05J	LMCSV	\$1,521.28
2015	18	4431	5899802	Fair Housing	05J	LMC	\$2,519.53
2015	18	4431	5943274	Fair Housing	05J	LMC	\$2,380.47
					05J	Matrix Code	\$6,521.28
2015	30	4443	5918457	Access Funds	05L	LMC	\$1,358.13
					05L	Matrix Code	\$1,858.13
<b>Total</b>							<b>\$616,604.95</b>

LINE 37 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 37



Office of Community Planning and Development  
 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development  
 Integrated Disbursement and Information System  
 PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report  
 Program Year 2015  
 SPRINGFIELD, MA

DATE: 08-29-16  
 TIME: 11:54  
 PAGE: 8

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2014	7	4244	5829598	Planning & Admin	21A		\$2,472.15
2015	2	4417	5872490	CDBG Planning & Administration	21A		\$234,516.14
2015	2	4417	5881716	CDBG Planning & Administration	21A		\$42,272.53
2015	2	4417	5899802	CDBG Planning & Administration	21A		\$177,660.74
2015	2	4417	5917927	CDBG Planning & Administration	21A		\$25,852.51
2015	2	4417	5918457	CDBG Planning & Administration	21A		\$143,840.04
2015	2	4417	5925881	CDBG Planning & Administration	21A		\$35,598.96
2015	2	4417	5943274	CDBG Planning & Administration	21A		\$87,736.09
					21A	Matrix Code	\$749,749.16
<b>Total</b>							<b>\$749,749.16</b>



## CR-05 Progress Narrative

### CR-05 Goals and Outcomes

#### South End Revitalization

The City continued to carry out revitalization of this extremely low-income neighborhood, according to plans initiated in 2008. Significant accomplishments include: redevelopment of 22 multi-family historic buildings, which has been brought under single ownership and enhanced with on-site management; expansion and redevelopment of Emerson Wight Park; extensive street-scaping and paving on Main Street and Dwight Street Extension; removal of numerous blighted buildings; facade and small business grants to Main Street storefront businesses; planning for a new community center, to be constructed in 2015-2016; and planning for traffic circulation improvements, which will be completed in 2016. The City and the Springfield Housing Authority partnered to receive a Choice Neighborhoods Planning Grant, which sponsored community engagement and planning that resulted in a comprehensive Neighborhood Transformation Plan. The City applied for and was awarded a 3-year Byrne Criminal Justice Initiative grant which is supporting a major public safety initiative in this neighborhood.

#### Central Street Corridor

Springfield worked with numerous partners toward the rebuilding of the Central Street Corridor, which was a swath of destruction from the 2011 tornado. Prior to the tornado, HOME funds supported development of six new single-family homes on this street, fortunately still standing and the City demolished an abandoned nursing home. Since the disaster, the City has funded construction--most ongoing--of 10 more single-family homes on Central or adjacent streets. The City has completed construction of a new state-of-the-art elementary school, and has undertaken planning for a major roadway project that will connect and align Central and Hickory Streets. The City has demolished multiple storm-damaged and blighted buildings.

#### Transformative Development Initiative District

The Transformative Development Initiative (TDI) District formation came to fruition after planning efforts stemming from a 2012 natural gas explosion on Worthington Street in the city's Metro Center neighborhood. The explosion, which occurred in a nightclub building that was leveled, severely damaged several buildings immediately surrounding and damaged dozens of buildings throughout the neighborhood. In subsequent months, the city worked with local non-profit partner DevelopSpringfield to develop a comprehensive redevelopment strategy for the neighborhood. The strategy focused on innovation -- from technology to housing to food -- and became the basis to the city's application to MassDevelopment to be one of their ten inaugural "TDI" Districts, which are a hyper focused planning initiative targeting resources and staff to a very small geographic area. Springfield was not only named one of the first ten cities with a district, but one of just three that was awarded a full-time "fellow" to advance our plan. The district has already seen some significant investments including the redevelopment of a formerly under-performing 265-unit apartment complex into "Silverbrick Lofts"; the construction of the Springfield Innovation Center by DevelopSpringfield, and MassDevelopment's purchase of a troubled nightclub to be repurposed as a positive anchor in the heart of the district. The district itself is centered around Stearns Square at Bridge and Worthington Streets, and reaches out to Main Street and Chestnut Street in the city's downtown. The focus on the neighborhood is also key because of the significant investments surrounding the district -- a \$950 million MGM Springfield project to the south; a \$90 million Union Station renovation immediately to the north, and a new Dr. Seuss museum to the East. It is the goal of plan to strengthen the core to better connect these attractions in what is a very walkable downtown.

#### **Downtown Dining District Fund**

As part of the TDI District the city made a number of commitments as a core partner. First, in upgrading public spaces – the city has committed to upgrading the major public park in the district, Stearns Square, to become an outdoor destination for residents, businesses, and visitors. As part of that project the city will also upgrade a connecting walkway, known as Duryea Way that helps provide access to the redeveloped Union Station Project. Work on both of these park projects is expected to begin in 2017. The city also committed to apply to the Department of Housing and Urban Development to create a Downtown Dining District Loan Fund (DDDF). The DDDF will provide low interest loan funding with flexible terms to experienced restaurant operators for the development of full service restaurants in the district. The goal of the project is to repopulate currently vacant storefronts with a unique districtwide dining experience that will serve as a destination point while also stimulating new job growth. It is expected the application to HUD will be submitted later this summer.